Organometallic Chemistry

R'Hg- and Ph₃PAu-Derivatives of substituted malononitriles RCH(CN)₂

A. S. Peregudov, * L. N. Usatova, E. I. Smyslova, and D. N. Kravtsov

A. N. Nesmeyanov Institute of Organoelement Compounds, Russian Academy of Sciences, 28 ul. Vavilova, 117813 Moscow, Russian Federation.

Fax: +7 (095) 135 5085

The organomercurials RC(HgR')(CN)₂ (R = Me, Ph; R' = Ph, CH₂Ph) have been obtained by the action of organomercury hydroxides R'HgOH or acetates R'HgOAc on substituted malonodinitriles RCH(CN)₂. (Ph₃PAu)₃O⁺BF₄⁻ reacts with the same nitriles to give organogold derivatives RC(AuPPh₃)(CN)₂. The study of the structures of organomercury and -gold compounds by ¹H, ³¹P and ¹⁹⁹Hg NMR spectra as well as by IR spectra show that these compounds exist mainly in the C-form. The degenerated exchange reaction involving the cleavage of C—Hg bond takes place in the phenylmercury derivative of methylmalonodinitrile. Organomercury derivatives of substituted malonodinitriles are stable with respect to symmetrization in solution, in contrast to PhCH(HgPh)CN studied previously.

Key words: methyl- and phenylmalononitriles; RHg- and Ph₃PAu-derivatives; ¹H, ³¹P, ¹⁹⁹Hg NMR spectra; IR spectra; C—Hg bond; exchange reactions.

Unsymmetrical organomercury derivatives of α,α -dinitriles RC(HgR')(CN)₂ (1) as well as derivatives of triphenylphosphinogold RC(Ph₃PAu)(CN)₂ (2) are not described in literature. The diauriated derivative of malonodinitrile, bis(triphenylphosphinogold)dicyanomethane (Ph₃PAu)₂C(CN)₂ (3), formed in the reaction of malonodinitrile with tris(triphenylphosphinogold)oxonium tetrafluoroborate in the presence of bases, ¹ has been obtained and characterized by X-ray analysis.

Organometallic derivatives of the $R'C(ML_n)(CN)_2$ type are convenient model compounds for studying dynamic processes with cleavage of the L_nM-C bond.

Methylmalonodinitrile $MeCH(CN)_2$ (4) and phenylmalonodinitrile $PhCH(CN)_2$ (5) were chosen as the

initial dinitriles. The selection of the mentioned compounds was based on the fact that data on CH-acidity in DMSO for them and CH₂(CN)₂ are presented in literature.² This fact is of great importance for the quantitative determination of the relative polarity of the element—hydrogen and element—metal bonds in HX-acids and their organometallic derivatives by the exchange equilibria method (see, for example, Ref. 3 and references cited therein).

We have established that the interaction of organomercury hydroxides R'HgOH(R' = Ph, PhCH₂) with 5 or PhHgOH with 4 in ethanol results in mercuration of the considered dinitriles, and compounds 1a—c are formed in quantitative yields.

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{RCH(CN)}_2 + \text{R'HgOH} \xrightarrow{\text{EtOH}} & \text{RC(HgR')(CN)}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} & \text{(1)} \\ & \textbf{1a-c} \\ & \textbf{a:} \ \text{R} = \text{Me, R'} = \text{Ph} \\ & \textbf{b:} \ \text{R} = \text{R'} = \text{Ph} \\ & \textbf{c:} \ \text{R} = \text{Ph, R'} = \text{PhCH}_2 \\ \end{array}$$

The reaction of dinitrile 4 with PhCH₂HgOH is accompanied by the liberation of metallic mercury.

Mercuration of dinitriles 4 and 5 can also be performed by organomercury acetates R'HgOAc in the presence of catalytic amounts (~5 %) of a base (KOH) or even without a catalyst.

$$RCH(CN)_2 + R'HgOAc \xrightarrow{EtOH} RC(HgR')(CN)_2 + HOAc \qquad (2)$$

This method of synthesis of compounds 1 is simpler because it does not require preliminary preparation of hydroxides R'HgOH, which are synthesized by alkaline hydrolysis of acetates R'HgOAc. 4 However, the yields and purity of the corresponding products of reaction (2) are considerably lower than those in reaction (1). In particular, PhCH₂HgOAc does not mercurate dinitrile 4 under the same conditions.

We also found that R'HgOH and R'HgOAc mercurate unsubstituted malonodinitrile $CH_2(CN)_2$ with substitution of both acidic CH-protons, resulting in the formation of dimercurated compounds 6a,b.

$$CH_{2}(CN)_{2} + 2R'HgX \xrightarrow{EtOH} (HgR')_{2}C(CN)_{2} + 2HX \qquad (3)$$

$$6a,b$$

$$X = OH, OAc$$

$$a: R' = Ph$$

$$b: R' = PhCH_{2}$$

It should be noted that mercuration of a less acidic mononitrile, PhCH₂CN, leads to the formation of a mixture of mono- and dimercurated products, as we showed previously.⁵

$$PhCH2CN + R'HgOH \xrightarrow{EtOH} (4)$$

$$PhCH(HgR')CN + PhC(HgR')2CN$$

$$7a,b 8a,b$$

$$a: R' = Me$$

$$b: R' = Ph$$

Dinitriles 4 and 5 are auriated with tris(triphenyl-phosphinogold)oxonium tetrafluoroborate to form compounds 9a,b.

$$3RCH(CN)_{2} + (Ph_{3}PAu)_{3}O^{\dagger}BF_{4}^{-} \xrightarrow{K_{2}CO_{3}}$$

$$\longrightarrow 3RC(AuPPh_{3})(CN)_{2}$$

$$9a,b$$
(5)

The structures of the obtained compounds in solution were studied by ¹H, ³¹P and ¹⁹⁹Hg NMR spectroscopy as well as IR spectroscopy (Table 1).

The ¹H NMR spectrum of the solution of dinitrile 1a in C_5D_5N at ~20 °C contains one broadened Me-group signal ($v_{1/2} = 9$ Hz). At -50 °C this signal becomes narrow with narrow satellites caused by the spin-spin interaction of the ¹⁹⁹Hg nucleus with protons of the methyl group, the value of ${}^3J_{1H,199}$ Hg is 86 Hz. A similar situation with satellites of mercury, but already at ~20 °C, is observed for a solution of compound 1a in a C_5D_5N —CDCl₃ mixture* (${}^3J_{1H,199}$ Hg = 81 Hz) as well as in C_2C_1 (${}^3J_{1H,199}$ Hg = 87 Hz). The data obtained indicate the existence of degenerate intermolecular exchange of PhHg-fragments in a solution of 1a in C_5D_5N .

$$MeC(CN)_2$$
— $HgPh + MeC^*(CN)_2$ — Hg^*Ph \Longrightarrow $MeC^*(CN)_2$ — $HgPh + MeC(CN)_2$ — Hg^*Ph (6)

The ¹H NMR spectrum of the gold-containing compound 9a in CDCl₃ contains a doublet signal of Me-group protons with ${}^4J_{1H,^{31}P} = 3.9$ Hz. In the ¹H NMR spectrum of the solution of the same compound in C_5D_5N , the Me-group protons manifest themselves as a narrow singlet. This fact may attest to lability in the H—C—C—Au—P fragment either of the C—Au bond, which corresponds to the degenerate exchange of Ph₃PAu-fragments (reaction (7)), or of the Au—P bond, which corresponds to the intermolecular exchange of phosphine ligands (reaction (8)).

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{MeC(CN)}_2 &- \operatorname{AuPPh}_3 + \operatorname{MeC^*(CN)}_2 - \operatorname{Au^*PPh}_3 & \Longrightarrow \\ & \Longrightarrow \operatorname{MeC(CN)}_2 - \operatorname{Au^*PPh}_3 + \operatorname{MeC^*(CN)}_2 - \operatorname{AuPPh}_3 \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

$$MeC(CN)_2Au-PPh_3 + MeC(CN)_2Au^*-P^*Ph_3 \implies MeC(CN)_2Au-P^*Ph_3 + MeC(CN)_2Au^*-PPh_3$$
(8)

It should be mentioned that the exchange reaction of triphenylphosphine ligands in the mixture of **9a** and **9b** in pyridine is fast in the time scale of ³¹P NMR, because the ³¹P NMR spectrum of the solution of this mixture contains one broadened signal of phosphorus, whose chemical shift (35.2 ppm) is intermediate between the chemical shifts of the signals of the individual compounds (38.1 and 31.0 ppm, respectively). At the same time, the ³¹P NMR spectrum of a solution of a similar mixture in CHCl₃ contains two signals, whose positions correspond to the signals of the individual compounds (38.0 and 36.8 ppm, respectively).

We intend to perform a comprehensive study of the exchange kinetics (see reaction (6)) in the future. Let us also indicate that the C—H bond in the initial dinitrile 4 is stable in the time scale of NMR in $CDCl_3$ and C_5D_5N under normal conditions. The existence of a

^{*} Compound 1a is insoluble in pure CDCl₃.

denvatives				
		100	_ IR spectrum,	
Compound	Solvent	δ ¹ H δ		v(C=N)/cm ⁻¹ (hexachlorobutadiene)
MeC(HgPh)(CN) ₂ (1a)	C ₅ D ₅ N C ₅ D ₅ N— CDCl ₃ (1 : 1)	1.974 (CH ₃); 6.99—7.78 (m, Ph) 1.890 (${}^{3}J_{1H,199}_{Hg}$ = 81 Hz, CH ₃); 7.28—7.72 (m, Ph)	-1137.6	2223 s, 2237 s, 2250 sh
	CD_2Cl_2 $(CD_3)_2SO$	2.028 (${}^{3}J_{1H,199Hg}$ = 87 Hz, CH ₃); 7.11–7.53 (m, Ph) 1.890 (CH ₃); 7.06–7.81 (m, Ph)		
PhC(HgPh)(CN) ₂ (1b)	C_5D_5N	6.77—8.10 (m, Ph)	-1291.5	2242 s
$\frac{\text{PhC(HgCH}_{2}\text{Ph)(CN)}_{2}}{(1c)}$	C_5D_5N	3.344 (${}^{2}J_{1_{\text{H}},199_{\text{Hg}}} = 301 \text{ Hz}, \text{ CH}_{3}$); 6.77–7.57 (m, Ph)	1253.4	2232 s
$\frac{\text{C(HgPh)}_2(\text{CN)}_2}{(6\mathbf{a})}$	C_5D_5N	7.00—7.71 (m, Ph)	-924.0	2210 s, 2251 w
$\begin{array}{c} \text{C(HgCH}_2\text{Ph)}_2(\text{CN)}_2 \\ \textbf{(6b)} \end{array}$	C_5D_5N	2.687 $(^2J_{1_{\text{H}},199_{\text{Hg}}} = 214 \text{ Hz}, \text{CH}_3); 6.85-7.19 \text{ (m, Ph)}$)	2180 w
$MeC(AuPPh_3)(CN)_2$	C_5D_5N	2.006 (CH ₃); 7.37—7.57 (m, Ph)		2197 m, 2211 s
(9a)	CDCl ₃	1.939 d (${}^{4}J_{1_{\text{H}},31_{\text{P}}}$ = 3.9 Hz, CH ₃); 7.42–7.55 (m, Ph)		
PhC(AuPPh ₃)(CN) ₂ (9b)	C_5D_5N	6.72—7.56 (m, Ph)		2216 s
$MeCH(CN)_2$	C_5D_5N	1.634 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, \text{ CH}_{3}$); 5.022 (q, CH)		2281 s
(4)	$(CD_3)_2SO$	1.622 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$ = 7.3 Hz, CH ₃); 5.010 (q, CH)		
PhCH(CN) ₂	CDCl ₃	5.061 (CH); 7.498 (Ph)		2270 s

Table 1. NMR and IR spectroscopy data on mercury- and gold-containing derivatives of malononitrile and its substituted derivatives

doublet of Me-group protons attests to this (see Table 1). This conforms to the literature data, according to which fast proton exchange in the C—H bonds of hydrocarbons at elevated acidity occurs only under catalytic conditions.⁶

(5)

It is important for our purposes that in mixtures of dinitrile 4 and its organometallic derivatives, exchanges of the mercury—hydrogen and gold—hydrogen types in C_5D_5N (reaction (9)) are slow in the NMR time scale (the spectrum contains individual signals of Me-group protons).

$$MeC(CN)_2-H + MeC^*(CN)_2-ML_n = MeC(CN)_2-ML_n + MeC^*(CN)_2-H$$

$$ML_n = HgR, AuPPh_3$$
(9)

In the light of the known ability of RHgX compounds to undergo symmetrization, ^{7,8} the problem of the stability of the synthesized organomercury derivatives in solutions seems also important. This information can be obtained from the ¹⁹⁹Hg NMR spectra of these compounds (see Table 1). The ¹⁹⁹Hg NMR spectra of freshly prepared solutions of **1a—c** and **2a,b** in pyridine contains one signal of the mercury nucleus related to the unsymmetrical compound. The spectra of **1a—c** and **2b** do not change after they are allowed to stand for a week,

whereas in the spectrum of dimercurated compound **6a** two additional weak signals appear at -792 and -1051 ppm. The weak-field signal relates to Ph₂Hg and that of the high field seems to be attributed to another symmetric compound, Hg[PhHgC(CN)₂]₂.

Thus, the organomercury derivatives of dinitriles 1a-c considered as model compounds are stable with respect to symmetrization in solution in contrast to the derivative of mononitrile 7a. As we established previously, 5 the latter compound is partially symmetrized in solution (in CHCl₃ and pyridine).

Let us note that, in addition to the data presented in literature⁵ on the ¹⁹⁹Hg NMR spectrum of compound **7a** in C_5H_5N , the existence of compound **10** in the system (see reaction (10)) is confirmed by the ¹⁹⁹Hg NMR spectrum of a previously known sample of **10**.* The ¹⁹⁹Hg NMR spectrum of a pyridine solution of **10**

^{*} The authors are grateful to coworkers of the laboratory of N. S. Vyazankin for the synthesis of compound 10.

contains two closely arranged signals of the mercury nuclei with -1261.8 and -1263.3 ppm of equal intensities. The doubling of the mercury signals seems to be connected with the existence of two asymmetric carbon atoms in compound 10 and is caused by the different screening of mercury in the meso-forms of 10a and enantiomers of 10b.

Table 1 also presents the frequencies of the valent oscillations of the C≡N group for solutions of the considered compounds in hexachlorobutadiene. These frequencies for metal-containing compounds are within the range 2180 to 2220 cm⁻¹, *i.e.*, they are essentially shifted to low frequencies as compared with the spectra of the initial dinitriles.

The data of NMR and IR spectroscopy attest that synthesized mercury- and gold-containing derivatives mainly exist in the C-form, like the monometallated (7a,b, 5 MeHgCH $_2$ CN 10) and dimetallated (8a,b 5 and (Ph $_3$ PAu) $_2$ C(CN) $_2$ 1) C-derivatives of other α -nitriles.

The data obtained allow one to make, in particular, the following conclusions.

1. Monometallated Hg- and Au-containing derivatives of dinitriles 1 and 8 in solutions are stable compounds and exist mainly in the C-form.

- 2. Screening of the Me-group in the initial nitrile 4 and its RHg- and Ph₃PAu-derivatives strongly differ.
- 3. Exchange of the Hg—H and Au—H type in the system (see reaction (9)) is slow in the ¹H NMR time scale. All of these facts allow one to consider nitriles 4 and 5 and their PhHg- and Ph₃Au-derivatives as convenient model systems for the ¹H NMR study of the position of mercury—hydrogen and gold—hydrogen type exchange equilibria in mixtures containing dinitrile 4, other HX-acids, and their organometallic derivatives.

$$\begin{array}{c}
CN \\
Me - C - ML_n + H - X \Longrightarrow Me - C - H + L_n M - X \\
CN
\end{array}$$
(11)

Experimental

NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker WP-200 SY spectrometer with operating frequencies of 200.13 MHz (1 H), 81.01 MHz (31 P), and 35.76 MHz (199 Hg). The values of δ 1 H were determined relative to the residual hydrogen signal in CDCl₃ (7.24) and C₅D₅N (8.71) and recalculated relative to TMS. The values of δ 31 P were obtained by the exchange method relative to 85% H₃PO₄, the accuracy of determination was \pm 0.5. The values of δ 199 Hg were estimated by the exchange method relative to a 0.2 M solution of Ph₂Hg in C₅H₅N and then recalculated with respect to Me₂Hg using the previously found 10 value of δ 199 Hg for Ph₂Hg in C₅H₅N equal to $^{-791.1}$. The accuracy of the determination of δ 199 Hg was \pm 0.5. The stabilization of the resonance conditions in the case of 31 P and 199 Hg NMR spectra was performed by the signal of deuterium from D₂O placed between walls of the ampule (d = 10 mm) and the shell with the sample (d = 8 mm).

Table 2. Melting points and results of elemental analysis of compounds $L_nMCR(CN)_2$ and $(R'Hg)_2C(CN)_2$ $(L_nM = R'Hg, Ph_3PAu)$

Compound	Yield (%)	M.p./°C	Found (%) Calculated					Molecular formula
			С	Н	N	M	P	
1a	80	177—179	33.62 33.61	2.00 2.24	7.83 7.84	<u>55.75</u> 56.30	_	$C_{10}H_8HgN_2$
1b	70	125—127	<u>42.19</u> 42.95	2.39 2.38	<u>6.46</u> 6.69	<u>46.35</u> 47.97		$\mathrm{C}_{15}\mathrm{H}_{10}\mathrm{HgN}_2$
1c	60	93—95	44.08 44.38	2.78 2.77	6.85 6.47	45.98 46.37	_	$\mathrm{C}_{16}\mathrm{H}_{12}\mathrm{HgN}_2$
6a	96	270—272	29.34 29.23	1.84 1.64	- -	63.21 64.61		$C_{15}H_{10}Hg_2N_2$
6b	90	165—170	31.51 31.52	2.20 2.16	4.19 4.32	60.10 61.99	_	$C_{17}H_{14}Hg_2N_2$
9a	61	168—170	<u>49.04</u> 49.08	3.36 3.11	<u>5.02</u> 5.02	_	5.86 5.75	$C_{22}H_{18}AuN_2P$
9Ь	72	124—125	53.70 53.97	3.43 3.35	4.47 4.66	_	<u>5.21</u> 5.15	$C_{27}H_{20}AuN_2P$

Solvents were purified by standard procedures. Dinitrile of methylmalonic acid (4) and dinitrile of phenylmalonic acid (5) were prepared as described previously. ¹², ¹³

Synthesis of 1,1-dicyanoethylphenylmercury (1a). A solution of 1.2 g (4 mmol) of PhHgOH in 30 mL of EtOH was added to a solution of 0.3 g (4 mmol) of dinitrile of methylmalonic acid in 15 mL of EtOH. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1.5 h at ~20 °C and allowed to stand for a night in a refrigerator. Precipitated colorless needle-shaped crystals of 1a dried over alkali had a m.p. of 177—179 °C. Additional white crystals of 1a (with the same m.p.) were obtained by evaporation of the mother liquor. The yield was 1.2 g (80 %).

The compounds 1b-d, 6a,b were obtained similarly.

Synthesis of $(\alpha,\alpha$ -dicyanobenzyl)benzylmercury (6b). A solution of 0.84 g (2.5 mmol) of benzylmercury acetate in 30 mL of EtOH was added to a solution of 0.36 g (2.5 mmol) of dinitrile of phenylmalonic acid in 15 mL of EtOH. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1.5 h at ~20 °C, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was recrystallized from ethanol. Light-yellow crystals of 6b (0.65 g, 60 %) were obtained, m.p. was 93—95 °C.

Synthesis of 1,1-dicyanoethyl- and α,α -dicyanobenzyltriphenylphosphinogold (9a,b). A mixture of 0.082 g (1.05 mmol) of dinitrile of methylmalonic acid, 0.05 g of K₂CO₃ and 0.3 mL of H₂O in 30 mL of anhydrous THF was stirred for 20 min, then 0.5 g (0.34 mmol) of tris(triphenylphosphinogold)oxonium tetrafluoroborate was added. The mixture was stirred for 1.5 h more until the full dissolution of oxonium salt, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure (in the case when oil remained after the removal of the solvent, 3 mL of THF was added to the oil, and the solution was added dropwise with intense stirring to 50 mL of an ether-hexane (1:1) mixture, the precipitated powder was removed and dissolved in acetone). The residue was dissolved in a minimum amount of acetone, and 0.32 g (61 %) of compound 9a was precipitated with an ether—hexane (1 : 2) mixture as a colorless crystalline substance with m.p. equal to 168-170 °C. Similarly, 0.44 g (72 %) of product 9b as colorless crystals with m.p. 124-125 °C was obtained from 0.14 g (1.05 mmol) of dinitrile of phenylmalonic acid and 0.5 g (0.34 mmol) of the oxonium salt.

The data of elemental analysis and melting points of the compounds obtained are presented in Table 2.

References

- E. I. Smyslova, E. G. Perevalova, V. P. Dyadchenko, K. I. Grandberg, Yu. L. Slovokhotov, and Yu. T. Struchkov, J. Organomet. Chem., 1981, 215, 269.
- 2. F. G. Bordwell, Acc. Chem. Res., 1988, 21, 465.
- A. S. Peregudov, E. I. Smyslova, E. I. Fedin, and D. N. Kravtsov, *Metalloorg. Khim.*, 1992, 5, 120 [Organomet. Chem, 1992, 5 (Engl. Transl.)].
- 4. A. N. Nesmeyanov and L. G. Makarova, in *Metody elementoorganicheskoi khimii. Rtuf [Methods of Organometallic Chemistry*], Nauka, Moscow, 1965, 330 (in Russian).
- A. S. Peregudov, L. N. Usatova, and D. N. Kravtsov, Metallorg. Khim., 1989, 2, 695 [Organomet. Chem, 1989, 2 (Engl. Transl.)].
- P. Gragerov, V. K. Pogorelyi, and I. F. Franchuk, in Vodorodnaya svyaz' i bystryi protonnyi obmen [Hydrogen Bond and Fast Proton Exchange], Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 1978, 198 (in Russian).
- 7. A. N. Nesmeyanov and L. G. Makarova, in *Metody elementoorganicheskoi khimii. Rtut [Methods of Organometallic Chemistry*], Nauka, Moscow, 1965, 238 (in Russian).
- V. F. Ivanov, A. S. Peregudov, and D. N. Kravtsov, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, *Ser. Khim.*, 1983, 1443 [*Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR*, *Div. Chem. Sci.*, 1983, 32, 1312 (Engl. Transl.)].
- B. Fedot'eva, O. A. Kruglaya, B. V. Fedot'ev, and N. S. Vyazankin, Zh. Obshch. Khim., 1978, 48, 2387 [J. Gen. Chem. USSR, 1978, 48 (Engl. Transl.)].
- 10. F. Weller, Z. anorg. und allg. Chem., 1975, 415, 233.
- Yu. K. Grishin, Yu. A. Strelenko, L. A. Margulis, Yu. A. Ustynyuk, L. S. Golovchenko, A. S. Peregudov, and D. N. Kravtsov, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 1979, 249, 892 [*Dokl. Chem.*, 1979, 249 (Engl. Transl.)].
- 12. P. B. Russel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1950, 72, 1853.
- 13. J. C. Hessler, Am. Chem. J., 1904, 32, 123.

Received December 28, 1992; in revised form June 20, 1993